

**ADDENDUM #1**

The end date of the project date was incorrect in the original SOW. Mn/DOT has made the changes the changes below. Because of this error Mn/DOT has extended the proposal date as identified below.

**Section 2 Project Duties and Deliverables is revised as follows:**

6	Demonstrate how the product fills requirements related to integration with legacy business database applications.	Integration with a selected Oracle database completed and tested.	<del>February, 2011</del> <b><u>04/30/2011</u></b>
7	Perform simulated testing of requirements as needed (for example, large numbers of simultaneous transactions or large document imports).	Tests conducted and results reported.	<del>February, 2011</del> <b><u>04/30/2011</u></b>

**Section 3 Project Milestones and Schedule is revised as follows:**

3.3 End date: ~~04/30/2011~~ **06/30/2011**

**Section 8 Process Schedule is revised as follows:**

8.1 Deadline for Questions ~~1/3/2010~~ **01/18/2011** 2:00 PM Central Standard Time  
8.2 Posted Response to Questions ~~1/7/2011~~ **01/19/2011** 2:00 PM Central Standard Time  
8.3 Proposals due ~~1/14/2011~~ **01/24/2011** 2:00 PM Central Standard Time  
8.4 Anticipated proposal evaluation begins ~~1/20/2011~~ **01/28/2011**  
8.5 Anticipated proposal evaluation & decision ~~1/28/2011~~ **02/04/2011**

**Section 9 Questions is revised as follows:**

All questions regarding this SOW must be addressed to the Mn/DOT Contract Administrator listed below. Proposers may not discuss the content of this SOW with other Mn/DOT staff. Any questions regarding this SOW must be received via e-mail by ~~01/03/2011~~ **01/18/2011**, 2:00PM Central Standard Time.

Contract Administrator: Melissa McGinnis  
Email Address: [melissa.mcgininis@state.mn.us](mailto:melissa.mcgininis@state.mn.us)

It is anticipated that questions and answers will be posted on the Office of Enterprise Technology's web site by ~~01/07/2011~~ **01/19/2011**, 2:00pm Central Standard Time ([www.ot.state.mn.us](http://www.ot.state.mn.us)). Note that questions may be posted verbatim as submitted.

## **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

1. Is there an established test environment for Oracle and/or the applications, systems and architecture as identified in Table 1? Is there a Test environment for Bentley eB and ProjectWise?

A. Is there an established test environment for Oracle and/or the applications, systems and architecture as identified in Table 1?

- Currently the test environment has not been setup, it is planned for the test environment to be in place by the time the vendor partner is on-site.

B. Is there a Test environment for Bentley eB?

- Bentley eB is out of scope for the proof of concept.

- RFP posted on 12/22/10 states:

8	Demonstrate the ability to integrate SharePoint 2010 with Bentley eB and with Bentley ProjectWise.	Integration with Bentley eB and with Bentley ProjectWise completed and tested.	By end of pilot
---	--	--	-----------------

- RFP should have stated the following:

8	Demonstrate the ability to integrate SharePoint 2010 with Bentley ProjectWise.	Integration with SharePoint 2010 with Bentley ProjectWise completed and tested.	By end of pilot
---	--	---	-----------------

C. Is there a Test environment for ProjectWise?

- Yes

2. Will the approximately 2000 documents migrated to the pilot environment be a mix of documents from all the various sources listed in Table 1?

The ~2000 documents migrated to the pilot environment will be a mix of documents from the following from Table 1 (the remaining sources in Table 1 are not document-creating sources but are applications on desktops that must not be negatively impacted by the presence of SharePoint 2010):

- Adobe Acrobat
- Microsoft Office
  - Word
  - Excel
  - PowerPoint
  - Project
  - Visio
- Microsoft Outlook
- Business Objects Crystal Reports

Bentley Microstation files will not be migrated but will remain in the ProjectWise CAD management system. However, the pilot requirements include the ability to connect to ProjectWise to bring Microstation files up in SharePoint 2010 search results and view them.

See RFP Table 1 Page 1-2 for a table details

3. Does Mn/DOT has a SLA with OET that defines the staff, hardware, software and network resources to be provided by OET for this project?
- A. Do we have an SLA with OET?
    - No SLA currently for this service, but OET has stated they will be supporting us as needed throughout the course of the project.
  - B. What staff from OET will be working with the vendor partner?
    - OET will be providing the necessary resources at different stages as the need arises to involve those people.
  - C. What hardware will OET be providing for the pilot?
    - OET has identified necessary infrastructure and SharePoint 2010 should be in place prior to vendor arrival.
    - Currently they are providing 500GB of storage space for the virtual environment.
    - Infrastructure details and diagrams will be provided to the vendor partner prior to on-boarding.
  - D. What software will OET be providing for the pilot?
    - SharePoint 2010 Server Enterprise with Records Center, and BPOS D features available
    - Desktop operating systems: Windows XP and Windows 7
    - Internet Explorer 7.0.5730.13CO
  - E. What network resources will OET be providing?
    - Mn/DOT and OET will be providing the necessary networking resources at different stages as the need arises to involve those people.

Below is a list of possible software the vendor partner will be responsible for providing.

- E-Signature software ArX Cosign and Adobe LiveCycle.
- Along with any additional add-ons or connectors required for the pilot to validate SharePoint2010 functions with applications listed in Table 1

4. We have Query Regarding Title **SharePoint 2010 EDMS (97995)**. Please tell me the duration.
- See RFP Section 3 – Project Schedule Page 5

Reference Document: Table 1 from RFP

Desktop Software	Current Version
Adobe Acrobat Professional & Standard Editions	8.2.1/8.2.1
Microsoft Office Professional 2007	SP2
Microsoft Outlook	SP2
Windows XP*	SP3
Windows 7*	6.1.7600
Internet Explore*	7.0.5730.13CO
Hummingbird DM (current product to be replaced)	5.2.103
Hummingbird DM Profile Handler (current product to be replaced)	1.9.26
Desktop Utilities	Current Version
Adobe Flash Player	10.0.45.2
Adobe Shockwave	11.5.0.596
Adobe Reader	9.3.0
McAfee Agent (ePO)	4
McAfee VirusScan Enterprise	8.5i Patch 7
Oracle Client	10g
ESRI ArcGIS Desktop	9.3 SP1
Business Objects Crystal Reports	XI R2
Bentley Microstation	V8i (08.11.07.443)
Bentley Descartes	V8i (08.11.07.100)
Bentley Geopak	V8i (08.11.07.246)
Bentley iPlot Organizer	V8i (8.11.07.89)
Bentley ProjectWise Navigator	V8i (08.11.07.171)
Bentley ProjectWise Explorer	V8i (08.11.07.107)
Microsoft Office Visio	2007
Microsoft Office Project	2007
BMC Remedy	ARSystem 7.5.4; Atrium CMDB 7.6.2; ITSM 7.5

*\*Additional information provided.*

5. Due to the holidays, will you grant a time extension?
  - See Addendum above.
6. On page one of the SOW, MN/DOT states: *“For each software product identified below (table 1), describe how your solution integrates, and identify if the integration is out of the box or requires customization.”* Is this completed table to be part of our response to the SOW or is this a project deliverable?
  - This should be included as part of your proposal. A simple table that includes this information will suffice. This information will allow Mn/DOT to determine if the proposed solution provides a seamless integration with Mn/DOT desktop applications, systems and architecture. The SOW states “If customization or an additional product or module is

required please identify what is needed and provide an approximate cost” – the additional costs should be included in the cost proposal, not the technical proposal.

7. Seven copies of the proposal are to be submitted. Are seven copies of the cost proposal/required forms also to be submitted?
  - One copy of the cost proposal.